3-1 77/5-36-214/

Approved For Release 2001/04/24 : CIA-RDP78-04718A002200060014-8

31 May 1956

MEMORANDUM POR: Colonel White

+UBJECT

- s Contracting of Compultants for the Agency's Assessment and Evaluation Program
- 1. You had requested that I check into the recent cases in which the Office of Training had processed requests for the contrasting of consultants for the Assessment and Evaluation Program, to see generally how many cases were involved, the points at which delays in processing have course of my review are outlined below and in the tabs attached.

25X1A9a

on in his office that the requests originated. He was helpful in clarifying the problem since his censern was directed to the length of time it has taken to process requests for DECI approval submitted since 21 July 1953, when the basic paper setting up the Consultant Program was approved by the Director. He was not conserved about the length of time it has taken to complete the hiring of a consultant after approval had been received from the DECI.

25X1A9a

teen names, three of which had been eleared and were swellable for service, one of which had previously been submitted, and nine of which were new recommendations. This paper was submitted in conformance with a recommendation made by the Inspector General after a review of the problems affecting the Assessment and Evaluation Staff. It was initiated by on 16 July 1953, signed by Hr. Beird on 16 July and approved by the Director on 21 July 1953. Subsequently, four of the mine prespective consultants have been employed. Five of them were not able to be employed for various reasons, including failure to receive appropriate security

clearance, or reluctance on their part to rander service to the Agency.

25X1A9a for the names on the original list that that has rem into his "problems." He has initiated, since 1953, requests for approval for five individuals:

On h Hereh 1954, On 15 September 19 On 17 January 1955 On 17 January 1955 On 12 January 1956

25X1A5a1

	5. The time taken for processing each case is putlined below:
25X1A5a1	s. The request concerning was approved on 17 March 195k, taking ten working days from the time of initiation to the time of final approval.
25X1A5a1	on h October 195k, after featteen working days, four of which were used within the Office of Training, three days were spent in the Office of Personnel for comments as requested by the DOCI, two days were spent in transit, and five days were spent in the Office of the Director prior to signature.
25X1A5a1	The request emerming was approved  3 February 1955, after fourteen working days. The resting sheets are no longer part of the file, so it is not possible to determine what happened within the Office of Personnel and between the Offices of Training and Personnel for the ten working days between 17 January and 1 February. It would appear that it probably took one day for delivery from the Office of Training to the Office of Personnel, which would leave nine days within Personnel to make the checks required by Regulation as to:
	(1) Whether there were any other consultants of similar qualifications she sould be used for the type of activity proposed, and
	(2) Whether or not the professional qualifications of the proposed consultant were such as to justify the Director of Personnel recommending payment at the rate of \$50 per day.
25X1A5a1	The time here may have been a little lenger than in previous
25X1A5a1	cases, due to the fact that the same of the checks mentioned above were probably made on both proposed consultants during this
25X1A5a1	period of time.
25X1A5a1	same memorandum with the same and the processing was as out-
25X1A5a1	The request concerning was approved on 1 March 1956, after thirty-six working days, twenty-three of shich were spent in the Office of Training, six of which were spent in the Office of Personnel, four in transit, two in the Office of the Deputy Director (Support) (two different times), and one in the Office of the Deputy Director.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A5a1

25X1A9a

The reason for an additional day's transit time and three pattrs days in the Office of Training results from the fact that on an admitted instructions to all Deputies that papers admitted to the Director's Office would, in the future, in the opening paragraph indicate whether the paper required approval, whether it was only for information, etc., etc. Inseruch as the paper on the best started in January, prior to the Distructions from the Office of Training from the Office of the Deputy Director (Support), with the request that the first page be redone in a samuer acceptable to the Director's Office.

25X1A5a1

It seems evident from the above that in only one instance and there a considerable time les between initiation and approval, and that is in the case of the less thereof, within the Office of Training itself, and only mixtoen working days were required outside the Office of Training after the request was placed into proper form. Feriods of mixtoen working days for one case, fourteen working days for three cases, and ten working days for one case do not appear to constitute excessive time for processing cases of this sort.

- 25X1A5a1 approval) before the personal history statements have been submitted to that final processing could be completed.
- 25X1A5a1

  7. According to the reports prepared by the Office of Personnel through the first quarter of 1956 of the first consultants processed to that, only that been used. Six of the consultants on the original list sporoved 21 July 1953 were used for a total of 75 days during ST 1955 (TAB T).

questioned the beats for requiring that a per-25X1A9a sonal history statement be completed by a prospective consultant and forwarded to the Office of Training at the time approved to contract para. 3.s.(1)). He 25X1A him was being sought from the Birector Seels that it is not proper to require the high level people with wice he proposes to do husiness to complete a lengthy personal history statement and then here nothing come of it if the Director's approval is not received. This would be embarressing, both to him and to the propered consultant. He much prefers to have approval of the Director before he approaches the prospective consultant. The experience to date with the five prospective consultants processed since 1953 does not appear to sub-25X1A5a1 stantiate the existence of a situation which would warrant a revision of the Regulation. The contracting of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_was approved on 17 March 1954 and the personal history statement substitted on 12 May 1954. In the 25X1A5a1 , he was approved for contracting on a fotober CLAR OF STREET 1954; however, the personal blotory statement was not signed until 26 Febreary 1955 and was not forwarded to the Office of Personnel until 10 dam-25X1A5a1 wary 1956. The Reputy Director approved the contracting of on 3 February 1955, and the personal history statement was signed on 12 March 1955 and submitted to the Office of Personnel on 27 March 1955. was approved for contracting on 3 February 1955 but has never 25X1A5a1 been saked to subset a personal history statement because of the fact that he was cleared for use by the Hedical Staff and the Office of Training has therefore not completed arrangements with him. The combracting of was approved by the Deputy Director on 1 March 1956, and a personal 25X1A5a1 Alatory statement has not as yet been received from him. In two cases, then, 25X1A5a1 the personal history statements were returned fairly presptly, in two cases there was a compiderable delay, and in one case the personal history statemuch will not be fortheading because of the use being made of the proposed consultant by smother element of the Agency. If the previous of the correct Regulation are followed, papers would not be precessed within the Agency until a prospective commultant had indicated (by filing a personal history statement) a willingness to serve, if so requested. It was apparently believed, at the time the Regulation was processed, that it would be desirable to have this determination prior to seeking the approval of the lirector, and there appears to be enough of a delay or question of ultimate ampleyment in three out of the five cases processed to date to warrant continuation of this provision in the Regulation. (All of the requests, 25X1A5a1 were processed prior to the effective except that for date of the Regulation which is 17 May 1955. I have not been able to dewas processed without the 25X1A5a1 termine why the request for personal history statement, as required by the Regulation.) also questioned "Why it takes three or four weeks 25X1A9a for the Office of Personnel to decide whether to pay a man \$35 or \$50 per day." As cutlined in paregraph 5. above, for those cases in which time in the Office of Personnel was determinable, action was completed by that office within periods of three, six and nine working days. (The sine days

were required to process a paper containing the names of two proposed consultants.) In this connection it eight to be pointed out that two distinct evaluations take place within the Office of Personnel (as required by the Regulation) after a request is received:

- a. The Personnel Evaluation Division reviews the type of service to be performed, to determine the approximate grade level of responsibility at which the consultant will be operating. If he is to sit on a panel, the information on shat the panel is doing or supposed to be doing in also reviewed prior to the establishment of the amount of compensation.
- b. The Personnel Assignment Division must check out the roster of commutants already on board to see whether the Agency already "has a staff exployee, consultant, or an expert qualified to meet the new requirements." The qualifications of the individual proposed are also checked out with professional registers or other sources to determine if he apparently has the qualifications which meet the requirements of the position.

25X1A9a

appears that has no real problem, inspends as (a) the everage time necessary to process a request for the Director's approval does not appear to be exceeding of documents subsequent to the Director's approval.

Special Assistant to the Seputy Sirector (Support)

300 bir

25X1A9a

Attachments:

SA-DD/S:RBS:mrp Distribution:

Orig & lcc - DTR

1 - D/Pers

1 - DD/S chrono

1 - DD/S subject

\* 5 \*